

1 KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321)
United States Attorney

2 EUMI L. CHOI (WVBN 0722)
3 Chief, Criminal Division

4 WILLIAM C. MARTIN (ILBN 6272668)
5 Assistant United States Attorney

6 450 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, California 94102
7 Telephone: (415) 436-7220
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
8 Email: william.c.martin@usdoj.gov

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
13

14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
15)
16 Plaintiff,)
17)
18 v.)
19)
20 ERIC HOSKINS,)
Defendant.)
21

Criminal No. CR 06-00190 MHP

**STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME**

22 The above-captioned matter came before the Court on April 17, 2006 for status. The
23 defendant was represented by Geoffrey Hansen and the government was represented by William
24 C. Martin, Assistant United States Attorney. The case was set for May 8, 2006 for status and/or
25 motions and trial setting at 10:00 A.M.

26 The Court made a finding on the record that the time from and including April 17
27 through May 8, 2006, should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C.
28 § 3161(h)(8)(A), because the ends of justice served by taking such action outweighed the best

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
CR 06-00190 MHP**

1 interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. That finding was based on the need for
 2 the defendant to have reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the
 3 exercise of due diligence, and for continuity of counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C.

4 § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

5 The parties hereby agree to and request that the case be continued until May 8, 2006,
 6 and that the exclusion of time until then be granted. The parties agree and stipulate that the
 7 additional time is appropriate and necessary under Title 18, United States Code, Section
 8 3161(h)(8)(A), because the ends of justice served by this continuance outweigh the best interest
 9 of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. This time exclusion will allow defense counsel
 10 to effectively prepare, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and will provide for
 11 continuity of counsel for the defendant.

12
 13
 14 DATED: April 17, 2006

/s/

 GEOFFREY HANSEN
 Attorney for Defendant

15
 16
 17 DATED: April 17, 2006

/s/

 WILLIAM C. MARTIN
 Assistant U.S. Attorney

18
 19 So ordered.

20 DATED: April 18, 2006

21 _____
 MARILYN HALL PATEL
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

